PLAN OWNER / DECISION MAKER QUESTIONNAIRE

Introduction

Thank you for your interest in providing us with your views on SEA in Scotland. This survey is aimed at those who are largely responsible for the preparation of plans, programmes and strategies for which an SEA is required, but who did not actively undertake the SEA. This may include policy leads, senior members of Responsible Authorities who have signed off the plan, Elected Representatives etc. This survey asks questions about how the SEA process and outputs influenced your plan preparation process or the decisions that you took on what the plan should contain. It also asks more general questions aimed at securing your perceptions and experiences of SEA as practiced in Scotland.

Instructions for Completing this Survey

- •The survey is self explanatory and in many cases offers choices from drop down menus or to tick a box. There are also opportunities to provide free text to explain your views where necessary (up to 500 characters). There are however some brief instructions which we would be grateful if you could follow:
- •Please complete this survey from your experiences as an individual, not from your organisation. We want your honest views of how you think SEA has helped you to prepare or make decisions on your plan. Please note that all responses will be anonymised and no individual respondent or plan/SEA that they provide views upon will be identified.
- •For this work we are looking for Scottish experience only on plans and programmes that qualify for SEA under the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 or the previous 2004 regulations.
- •The survey is broken down into four parts. At the end of each part you may save and return later. To save and return later, please click on "exit this survey". The progress bar at the top of the screen will tell you how far you have progressed. It should take around 20 minutes to complete. The four parts are:
- Part 1 Basic Information
- Part 2 Influence of SEA over decision-making Your Experiences
- Part 3 How can we deliver improvements?
- Part 4 Overall perception of SEA in Scotland

PART 1 – BASIC INFORMATION

| Notes |
|-------|
|-------|

In this part, we are looking for some basic details about you, your plan and your organisation. This will help us to understand if there are any correlations between types of organisation, types of plans and how effective the SEA was found to be.

| fy a plan which you were responsible for. ase provide the name of the plane note above) ch one of the following sectors | n which you have no | |
|---|---|---|
| ch one of the following sectors | | |
| ch one of the following sectors | does the plan cover | |
| | does the plan cover | |
| | does the plan cover | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| on was most of the SEA work w | adautakan far thia ni | |
| in was most of the SEA work un | idertaken for tills pla | 411 i |
| | _ | |
| | | nisation that is responsib |
| | ig the SEA? | |
| al Authority | | |
| ouping of local authorities | | |
| n Departmental Government Body | | |
| er public body | | |
| tnership across many public bodies | | |
| ottish Government | | |
| er (please specify) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| C | ch one of the following groups of paring the plan and undertaking all Authority In ping of local authorities Departmental Government Body or public body mership across many public bodies tish Government | Departmental Government Body or public body nership across many public bodies tish Government |

SEA Review - PLAN OWNER / DECISION MAKER QUESTIONNAIRE 5. Who carried out the SEA? C Fully in-house by your organisation C Fully undertaken by consultants C Partly in house and partly by consultants O Don't know Other (please specify) Other

PART 2 – INFLUENCE OF SEA OVER DECISION MAKING – YOUR EXPERIENCES

This section seeks your views on how your nominated SEA actually influenced the plan to which it relates. This will help us to understand the extent of influence SEA is having and to identify opportunities for greater effectiveness in improving the environmental performance of plans.

* 1. To what extent do you think the SEA process has improved the understanding of environmental issues / trends / problems for the following in your organisation as a whole?

| | Very significant improvement | Some improvement | Indifferent | Little improvement | No improvement | Don't know/ no view |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Plan/Policy makers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior managers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elected representatives / board members | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |

* 2. Can you please provide a view on the following statements regarding the influence of SEA over decision making on the plan?

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Indifferent | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|----------------|-------|-------------|----------|-------------------|
| The SEA resulted in direct, significant and clearly demonstrable improvements to the plan | O | Ō | O | O | 0 |
| The SEA identified mitigation or enhancement measures which will provide significant environmental protection over and above what the plan would have delivered anyway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The SEA made new information available to plan-makers and decision takers | С | О | С | 0 | О |
| The SEA enhanced the environmental performance of the plan | O | 0 | O | O | O |
| The SEA made you consider issues that you would not normally have done in preparing the plan | С | С | О | О | О |
| The SEA enabled all the reasonable alternatives to be fully considered | 0 | O | O | O | O |
| The SEA enabled consultation on plan options that would not have been consulted on otherwise | С | С | C | C | C |
| The SEA secured greater involvement of other organisations in the plan-preparation process | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The SEA secured greater involvement of the public in the plan preparation process | O | O | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The SEA ensured that more environmentally sustainable options were taken forward in the plan instead | 0 | C | O | О | O |
| The SEA promoted more evidence based policy-making | С | O | О | O | О |
| The SEA promoted greater integration of different departments across the organisation | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | O |
| The SEA improved transparency and accountability | O | O | O | 0 | O |
| The SEA and the plan- preparation processes | O | O | O | O | 0 |

| 3. Did the SEA recommend mitige the plan is implemented? O Yes | gation measures that requ | uire to be nut into place as |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | ine to be put into place as |
| O Yes | | |
| | | |
| C No | | |
| O Don't Know | | |
| 4. If yes, how will you ensure th | at these mitigation meası | ures are implemented? |
| | <u> </u> | |
| | | |
| | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ | |
| 5. What had the most influence | on the preparation of the | plan (please tick up to five) |
| and, in the space, briefly explai | n why. | |
| ☐ The SEA Scoping Report | Stakeholder representations on SEA | ☐ Driven by commitments in your |
| ☐ The SEA Environmental Report | Stakeholder representations on plan | organisation's other plans and programme |
| ☐ The Consultation Authority written | Meetings/liaison with stakeholders | Driven by socio-economic objectives of your organisation |
| responses on the Environmental Report | | Scottish Government policy |
| The Consultation Authority written response on the plan | | Other (please specify) |
| ☐ Meetings/liaison with Consultation | | |
| Authorities | | |
| Other | | 1 |
| | | |
| 6. Please briefly explain why | | |
| | | |
| | _ | |
| | | |

PART 3 – HOW CAN WE DELIVER IMPROVEMENTS

This section seeks your views generally on how the process of SEA could be improved to ensure that it is effective in informing plan-makers about the environmental effects of their plans. We do not need your views in relation to a nominated plan SEA for this section, we are looking for your general thoughts on how SEA could be enhanced. Much of this section allows free text answers and we welcome your views.

To help you think about these things, we have made some suggested areas for comment. You are welcome to use these and / or the general comments box at the bottom of this section. For ease of analysing the views, please keep yc

| improved to help plans? | an-makers better integrate environmental considerations into |
|--|--|
| | |
| 2. Do you have an | suggestions for improving the way SEA influences plan making |
| | |
| 3 No vou have an | suggestions about how SEA documents such as Environmenta |
| _ | roved to help plan-makers better integrate environmental |
| considerations in | plans? |
| considerations in | plans? |
| 4. Do you have any | suggestions for improving the identification and implementation |
| considerations int | suggestions for improving the identification and implementation |
| 4. Do you have any of mitigation meas | suggestions for improving the identification and implementation res? |

SEA Review - PLAN OWNER / DECISION MAKER QUESTIONNAIRE 6. Do you have any suggestions for changes to the SEA legislation that would enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of SEA? 7. Do you have any suggestions for improving stakeholder / public engagement in SEA? 8. Do you think that there are any areas of duplication in the SEA process and do you have any suggestions about how they can be avoided? 9. General comments - please insert here any other general comments about improvements you feel should be made to enhance the effectiveness of SEA. (note: there is no text limit on this box)

PART 4 – OVERALL PERCEPTION OF SEA IN SCOTLAND

This is the last part of the questionnaire. It seeks to get your views generally on SEA practice in Scotland. We do not need your views in relation to a nominated plan SEA for this section, we are looking for your general thoughts on SEA.

1. Please provide your view on the following statements:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Indifferent | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|----------------|-------|-------------|----------|-------------------|
| SEA is an effective use of time and resources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| SEA allows decision making to be much more transparent | O | O | 0 | O | O |
| SEA is only worthwhile if it changes the plan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| SEA is generally proportionate to the environmental impacts that may result from the plan | C | С | O | О | С |
| SEA does not delay preparation and implementation of the plan | C | О | O | О | О |
| SEA in the long term will transform plan-making practices to support more sustainable development | 0 | 0 | O | O | 0 |
| The content of most plans is very different from how it would have been without the SEA | C | О | C | О | O |
| SEA makes relevant environment information available to decision- makers | O | О | 0 | О | О |
| SEA allows for effective public/stakeholder participation | O | 0 | O | O | О |
| SEA outputs are understandable and accessible to stakeholders | C | O | O | O | O |
| SEA outputs are understandable and accessible to the public | C | O | O | O | O |
| Future plan-making will be easier to undertake as a result of lessons learned from previous SEAs | 0 | 0 | O | O | 0 |

SEA Review - PLAN OWNER / DECISION MAKER QUESTIONNAIRE 2. If you answered "Strongly disagree" or "Disagree" for the question above, it would be helpful if you could briefly explain here the reason for your view 3. If you could identify one single most important contribution of SEA to better planmaking, what would it be? 4. If you could identify one single most frustrating aspect of SEA which is a barrier to better plan making, what would it be?

PART 5 – THANK YOU AND NEXT STEPS

Thank you for your time and interest in this review. Your views will be taken into account and included within the review findings. While all of the information we collect will be anonymised, we are looking to follow up this questionnaire with some more detailed interviews. Accordingly, if you are happy to be interviewed as part of this follow up work, we would like to take some basic details from you so that we may contact you.

| happy to be interviewed? Yes No 2. If yes, please provide us with the following contact details Name Organisation Email address Telephone number | | | follow up int | erviews will be o | conducted. Wou | ild you b |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 2. If yes, please provide us with the following contact details Name Organisation Email address | | erviewed : | | | | |
| Name Organisation Email address | | | | | | |
| Name Organisation Email address | 2. If yes, please | e provide us wit | th the followin | g contact detail | s | |
| Email address | | - | | | | |
| Email address | Organisation | | | | | |
| Telephone number | | | | | | |
| | elephone number | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |