

SCOTTISH ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AGENCY	Ref: EqIA-013
Equality and Diversity Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Form (EqIA)	Page No: 1 of 9
	Issue No: 2
	Issue Date 31/07/2014
	Originator: Joan Robertson
	Authoriser: Carol Johnston, Head of Employee Development and Safety

Scottish Environment Protection Agency

Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EqIA)
East Estates Project Edinburgh

Policy Name	East of Scotland Estates
Policy Author	Lorna McIver
Date Written/Reviewed	10/11/2015
Impact Screening Undertaken by	
EqIA carried out by	J Robertson and L McIver Date: 10/11//15
EqIA authorised by	
Date Authorised	

Introduction

An Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EqIA) identifies whether any policy, practise or activity has any disproportionate impact on any individual or group of people with a protected characteristic as determined by the Equality Act 2010.

The Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties) (Scotland) Regulations 2012 requires that all new and revised policies undergo a screening for impact. Where impact is identified, a full EqIA is undertaken.

This form has five parts;

- Part 1 provides general information about the policy, the owner and its purpose and is self-explanatory.
- Part 2 is a screening process to identify whether there is impact. If impact is identified, Part 3 must be completed.
- Part 3 is a full EqIA, where evidence is captured.
- Part 4 captures what will be monitored to ensure impact is either reduced, negated or remains constant and
- Part 5 is the approval section.

The Guidance Document should be read in conjunction with this form.

ON COMPLETION please indicate if this is a screening document only or full EqIA;

Screening only	N	Full Assessment	Y
-----------------------	---	------------------------	---

Scottish Environment Protection Agency Equality Impact assessment

PART 1

About the Policy/Activity

Portfolio/Function developing/reviewing policy or activity	Project Management Office
Title of policy/activity	East of Scotland Estates Project – Business Case Edinburgh
Date EqIA Screening Commenced	May 2015

Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/activity	<p>Reduce the cost of running its estate so as to maximise the funds available to invest in its core purpose of protecting and improving Scotland's environment. There is a need to provide the best possible working environment for our staff which is safe, pleasant and allows them to be productive. At the same time, we need to retain sufficient flexibility to adapt to meet future needs with minimum impact and cost. The project aligns with the estates objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To support and enable the continued delivery of high quality public services across Scotland• To provide a pleasant and flexible working environment for our staff• To maximise the efficient use of our resources• To reduce our impact on the environment
What are the intended consequences of the policy/activity?	<p>The project will deliver changes to our estate provision in the East which will provide a designed modern fit for purpose work environment which is fitted out ready for staff to transfer into and suitable for visitor access. The project covers: documenting the business case; securing the property arrangements; design and fit of the accommodation; staff transfer and migration arrangements as well as the changes to bring about new ways of working.</p>

Does this policy/activity link with any other? If Yes, please list.	Estates Strategy
Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy/activity?	125 Staff impacted by the change, managers across the agency, AMT, SEPA Board, Scottish Government and customer groups.
Who implements and who is responsible for the policy/activity?	East of Scotland Project Control Board and Project team. Implementation will be by managers across the agency

Part 2

Initial Screening for Relevance

This section is designed to determine the relevance of the policy/activity to equality.

- This section also fulfils the duty to consider any impact in relation to Human Rights.
- Initial screening will determine whether there is impact and where none is found, set out any evidence/justification for that determination.

Indicate in the table below whether policy/activity has any impact on the protected characteristics or is likely to influence SEPAs ability to comply with the general duty, which is to;

- Eliminate discrimination, victimisation, harassment or other unlawful conduct that is prohibited under the Equality Act 2010 and/or;
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a characteristic and those who do not and/or;
- Foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not.

Please tick as appropriate	Actual/potential positive impact	Actual/potential negative impact	No Impact	Unknown
Age				X
Disability		X		
Gender reassignment			X	
Marriage and civil partnership (relevant only to			X	

point a) above)				
Pregnancy and maternity		X		
Race			X	
Religion and belief				X
Sex (gender)		X		
Sexual Orientation			X	

If you have answered 'no' for all of the above, what is your justification or evidence for that determination?

The protected characteristics highlighted are not in any way impacted by the proposals.

Indicate on the table below whether the policy/activity has any impact on the Human Rights Act 1998

Please tick as appropriate	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	No Impact	Unknown
Article 6	X			
Article 8		X		
Article 14				X

If you have answered 'no' for all of the above, what is your justification or evidence for that determination?

Concluding Part 2

Has Relevance been Identified?	Please Tick	Next Steps
There is no relevance to Equality or the Human Rights Act 1998		Proceed to Part 4 Monitoring and Review
There is relevance to some or all of the Equality characteristics and/or the Human Rights Act 1998	X	Proceed to Part 3 Impact Assessment
It is unclear if there is relevance to some or all of the Equality characteristics and/or the Human Rights Act 1998		Proceed to Part 3 Impact Assessment

Part 3

Full Impact Assessment

This section captures details of any impact relevant to the listed protected characteristics and to Human Rights. It should also show details of relevance, evidence gathered and used, suggestions as to steps that could be taken to negate or reduce impact and decisions taken relating to impact. This should be based on proportionality

<p>Age</p>	<p>The overall SEPA staff date at 31 March 2015 indicated the age breakdown of staff as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="518 696 965 1025"> <thead> <tr> <th>AGE</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>16 -24</td> <td>0.37%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>25-34</td> <td>17.60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>35-44</td> <td>42.32%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>45-54</td> <td>27.72%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>55-64</td> <td>11.61%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>65 and over</td> <td>0.37%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>100.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>It is recognised that any changes to locations and consolidation of locations has a stressful effect on people. From our internal absence management figures, there is an increasing number of absences related to psychological illness and the age groups mostly affected are the age bands from 35 to 54. There is potential that risk will increase due specifically to the uncertainty about the future. The organisation needs to be aware of this and provide support for staff through OH and other support services, such as counselling. The opportunity on a regular basis for staff to be fully briefed to the progress and direction should help to alleviate some of that stress. The project will provide regular communications: Newsletters will be provided every six weeks. A mail box will be set up for staff to ask raise specific concerns.</p> <p>SEPA HR employment and absence data.</p>	AGE	Percentage	16 -24	0.37%	25-34	17.60%	35-44	42.32%	45-54	27.72%	55-64	11.61%	65 and over	0.37%		100.00%
AGE	Percentage																
16 -24	0.37%																
25-34	17.60%																
35-44	42.32%																
45-54	27.72%																
55-64	11.61%																
65 and over	0.37%																
	100.00%																
<p>Disability</p>	<p>The Equalities mainstream report, April 2015 states that there are 28 staff members who have a disability. This equates to 2.1%. Specifically for Edinburgh no staff have stated that they have a disability and 6% of staff have not provided any detail. Within Scotland 20% of the population are registered as Disabled (census data). It is not possible at this time to state the numbers of people who have reasonable adjustments in place in the East estate currently, however where an individual identifies a need for a reasonable adjustment, consideration will be given to the implementation of such an adjustment. Those who currently have an adjustment will have that adjustment replicated at any alternative location.</p>																

	<p>In addition to reasonable adjustments, any new premises should be subject to an access audit which will identify accessibility issues that should be addressed ahead of occupation. This ensures that the estate is fully accessible for both staff and service users alike.</p> <p>Scottish Census 2011 and SEPA</p>																
Gender reassignment	<p>There is no impact in the East Estate project linked to gender re-assignment.</p> <p>Evidence source:</p>																
Marriage and Civil Partnership	<p>There is no impact linked to marriage or civil partnership.</p> <p>Evidence source</p>																
Pregnancy and maternity	<p>Project is over a one year period (assuming acceptance of the business case), managers will ensure that any staff member of maternity or paternity leave are kept in touch with information on the project and invited to events as appropriate.</p>																
Race	<p>There is no impact in the East Estate project linked to race matters.</p> <p>Overall</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>White</td> <td>89.89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ethnic Minority</td> <td>1.77%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>7.56%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>0.77%</td> </tr> </table> <p>In terms of Edinburgh</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>White</td> <td>86.72%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ethnic Minority</td> <td>1.56%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>8.59%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>3.13%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Evidence source: HR data</p>	White	89.89%	Ethnic Minority	1.77%	Unknown	7.56%	Other	0.77%	White	86.72%	Ethnic Minority	1.56%	Unknown	8.59%	Other	3.13%
White	89.89%																
Ethnic Minority	1.77%																
Unknown	7.56%																
Other	0.77%																
White	86.72%																
Ethnic Minority	1.56%																
Unknown	8.59%																
Other	3.13%																
Religion and Belief	<p>1.6% of the staff members affected stated that they are Buddhists, Muslim or Sikh, 43% of affected staff members have not disclosed any information relating to their religion or belief. There will be areas within the buildings that can accommodate the requirements for quiet reflection and prayer. Our staff briefings and consultation dates will take account of key religious dates.</p> <p>SEPA Equality Mainstreaming and Outcome Progress Report 2015</p>																
Sex (gender)	<p>There is potential differential impact in relation to men and women with caring responsibilities. Most of SEPA staff work flexibly in a range of different ways, including part time, compressed hours and within a range of time periods. There are no details currently about which members of staff have that flexibility for the purposes of caring and our assumption is that our flexible working arrangements are in place to support such need. The relocation of the Edinburgh</p>																

	<p>Office may have implications for some in relation to additional travel time, changes to travel route or need to take public transport. With the change in distance being 4.7 miles from existing office the impact is thought to be small. Nevertheless the change for some could impact on child and other caring duties. In general women are still considered the principal child carer in most households and, although SEPA have male staff members who share in childcare, the impact of consolidation of offices will be proportionally greater on women.</p> <p>Given the preferred option for the business case and its proximity to existing office individual conversations with staff members are not deemed necessary before the final decision. Any concerns raised by staff given the change are thought to be able to be addressed through our flexible working arrangements.</p> <p>SEPA staff data.</p>
<p>Sexual Orientation</p>	<p>There are no implications of the project linked to sexual orientation.</p>
<p>Human Rights</p>	<p>In relation to the Human Rights Act 1998, there is a positive impact in relation to Article 6 because anyone facing potential displacement will be able to raise objections through the organisations grievance process. All existing Edinburgh staff will be accommodated within the one building at Silvan House. This means 125 people will be relocating to an office within 5 miles of existing office. There is no foreseen reason for any staff to be displaced. This also means that in relation to Article 8, the sharing of personal data to identify staff with particular protected characteristics could have an impact. There is unlikely to be an impact related to a Right to Family life where displacement has significant implications on an individual's work life balance, as commuting time will only be marginally different for some.</p> <p>It is unknown at this time whether there is likely to be implications related to Article 14 and wider discrimination, however for some there may be up to 5 mile increase in travel representing a small increase in travel costs.</p> <p>SEPA needs to decide whether this change merits paying disturbance payment.</p>

<p>Summary and Conclusion of Impact Assessment</p>	
<p>There is a need to balance potential impact against the need to find suitable premises that meets business requirements. The implementation of the Edinburgh Business Case is that there is likely to be a small impact in relation to age, disability pregnancy and maternity, religion and belief, gender and Human Rights. In the main the impact is small in terms of the change in distance of work place. There maybe for some a greater impact because of the change in location and travel arrangements. It is important that staff are kept up to date with decisions in order to alleviate uncertainty and unnecessary stress which can impact on health more widely. Detailed evidence from staff of individual circumstances can assist in identifying the</p>	

issues in more detail.
The mitigating activities identified in this assessment should be put in place at the earliest possible stage.

Concluding Part 3

Impact Assessment	Please Tick	Next Steps
There is no relevance to Equality or the Human Rights Act 1998		Proceed to Part 4 Monitoring and Review
There is relevance to some or all of the Equality characteristics and/or the Human Rights Act 1998		Proceed to Part 4 Monitoring and Review

Part 4

Monitoring and Review

The purpose of this section is to show how you will monitor the impact of the policy/activity.

- The reason for monitoring is to determine if the actual impact of the policy/activity is the same as the expected or intended.
- A statement on monitoring is required for all policy/activity regardless of whether there is any relevance to Equality or the Human Rights Act.

If you have provided evidence or justification for believing there is no relevance to Equality or the Human Rights Act in Part 2 Initial Screening or Part 3 Impact Assessment:

Q1 How do you intend to monitor and review the policy/activity?

The requirements and mitigating activities are built into specific deliverables within the project. The delivery of these will be monitored for effectiveness.

There will be some form of staff satisfaction survey regarding the project and changes to work location.

Our relocation policy and procedure does not require to be reviewed given the proximity of the new office.

The EQIA will be reviewed and updated as the project progresses to capture any changing impact on staff and any additional evidence that comes to the fore.

Q2 What will be monitored?

Feedback from previous staff moves.

Any changes to direction of the project will be monitored as too the evidence of impact on staff from staff 1:1s with managers, interviews and surveys.

The contractor needs to be compliant with building regulations in relation to disability access. The tender documentation will make sure the contractors comply with relevant legislation of relevance to EQIa Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) 1995. They will ensure the building fully complies with DDA in terms of meeting access requirements to our services and access to and around the building. An audit of the premises and certificate will confirm whether this has indeed been achieved.

Other accessibility and usability issues will be monitored through involvement of managers in the design and review after delivery. The specification for the building will include the other accessibility and usability requirements.

Q3 What is the frequency of monitoring?

Monitoring will be carried out at key decision points, delivery of key products, where staff evidence has been gathered and after the move has taken place.

The project will review the lessons learnt and assess whether successful in terms of equality and take lessons forward to next project.

Once the project is complete and building handed over to the business the monitoring should continue through assessment of complaints, compliments and staff surveys.

Q4 How will monitoring information be used?

Through our monitoring we will make use of the evidence gathered to make changes where appropriate or put in mitigating activities to reduce impacts. Our monitoring will also be used to assess compliance against specifications.

Also the results from staff consultation and any complaints/compliments and lessons learnt will be used in future projects.

Part 5

Approval

All screening documents and EqIA's must be submitted to the Equality mailbox for approval.

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment was completed by:

Name	Lorna McIver
Department/Function/Team	PMO
Date	10/11/15

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment was approved by:

Name and Designation	Stuart McGregor Chief Officer Finance
Date	10/11/15

ON COMPLETION please indicate if this is a screening document only or full EqIA;

Screening only		Full Assessment	Y
-----------------------	--	------------------------	---

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED WHEN IN HARD COPY OR STORED IN ANY ELECTRONIC FORMAT OTHER THAN IN THE BUSINESS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.